This checklist must be updated once per year by the student's PhD committee at the student's Annual Review. The students Oral Qualifying exam, and PhD Defense should serve as an annual review meeting.

Students Name:	UKI	D:	Entry Da	te:
I. MS Degree (if applicabl				
Institution:			_Date Awarded:_	
Thesis Title:				
Course Credits Allocated	d by DGS from MS:			
	•			•
-		-	-	ber must be from outside
•		•		I semester.). The outside
examiner will be appoir	ited by the graduate	school after req	uesting for final e	exam.
Chair:		Mer	nber:	
Member:		Mer	nber:	
Member:		Outside Mer	mber:	
III. COURSES: (All course	e work should be ap	proved by the stu	udent's Advisory	Committee)
a. Core Courses (Mus	st complete 3 of the	5 core courses v	with a grade of B	or higher)
EE 611: Semester	Grado	EE 621.	Somostor	Grado
LL 011. Semester		LL 021.		
EE 640: Semester	Grade	EE 661:	Semester	Grade
EE 685: Semester	Grade	EE 699:	Semester	Grade
Note any repeats or wi	thdrawals from co	re courses:		
b. Advanced or Speci	alty Courses: (Excl	ude EE 783 not	contributing to pr	e-qualifying credit)
Course	Semester	Grad	le	Credits
Total Credit Hours Cor	npleted	GPA	L	

Date of Written Exam:	V. Written Qualifying Exam:	
V. Oral Qualifying Exam: Date of Oral Exam: Research Topic (Title): (See Rubrics for quantitative scoring) Quality of Written Research Proposal: Quality of Presentation: Quality of Written Research Proposal: Ability to Field Technical Questions: Quality of the Research: Ability to Field Technical Questions: Ability to Perform Independent Research: Level of Scholarship of Research: Committee Comments:	Date of Written Exam:	Date of Retake:
Date of Oral Exam:	Written Qualifying Exam Result:	
Research Topic (Title):	/. Oral Qualifying Exam:	
(See Rubrics for quantitative scoring) Quality of Written Research Proposal: Quality of Presentation: Quality of the Research: Ability to Field Technical Questions: _ Ability to Perform Independent Research: Level of Scholarship of Research: Committee Comments: Date: Chair Signature: Date: M. Annual Reviews: (See Rubrics for quantitative scoring) a. Annual Review #1 Date of Annual Review: Quality of Written Research Summary: Quality of Presentation: Quality of the Research: Ability to Field Technical Questions: _ Ability to Perform Independent Research: Level of Scholarship of Research:	Date of Oral Exam:	
Quality of Written Research Proposal:	Research Topic (Title):	
Quality of the Research: Ability to Field Technical Questions: Ability to Perform Independent Research: Level of Scholarship of Research: Committee Comments: Level of Scholarship of Research: Chair Signature: Date: Chair Signature: Date: M. Annual Reviews: (See Rubrics for quantitative scoring) a. Annual Review #1 Date of Annual Review: Quality of Written Research Summary: Quality of Presentation: Quality of the Research: Ability to Field Technical Questions: Ability to Perform Independent Research: Level of Scholarship of Research:		
Ability to Perform Independent Research: Level of Scholarship of Research: Committee Comments:		
Committee Comments:		
/I. Annual Reviews: (See Rubrics for quantitative scoring) a. Annual Review #1 Date of Annual Review: Quality of Written Research Summary: Quality of Presentation: Quality of the Research: Ability to Field Technical Questions: Ability to Perform Independent Research: Level of Scholarship of Research:	Chair Signaturo:	
(See Rubrics for quantitative scoring) a. Annual Review #1 Date of Annual Review: Quality of Written Research Summary: Quality of Presentation: Quality of the Research: Ability to Field Technical Questions: Ability to Perform Independent Research: Level of Scholarship of Research:		Date
a. Annual Review #1 Date of Annual Review: Quality of Written Research Summary: Quality of Presentation: Quality of the Research: Ability to Field Technical Questions: Ability to Perform <i>Independent</i> Research: Level of Scholarship of Research:		
Quality of Written Research Summary: Quality of Presentation: Quality of the Research: Ability to Field Technical Questions: _ Ability to Perform <i>Independent</i> Research: Level of Scholarship of Research:	(See Rubrics for quantitative scoring)	
Quality of the Research: Ability to Field Technical Questions: Ability to Perform Independent Research: Level of Scholarship of Research:	a. Annual Review #1 Date of Annual Re	eview:
Ability to Perform <i>Independent</i> Research: Level of Scholarship of Research:		
	Quality of the Research	-
Committee Comments:		I AVAL AT SCHOLARSHID AT RASAARCH'
	Ability to Perform Independent Research: _	

	PhD Studen	
	Version 2.0,	•
b. Annual Review #2	Date of Annual Re	eview:
Quality of the Research:		_ Quality of Presentation: Ability to Field Technical Questions: _ Level of Scholarship of Research:
Committee Comments: _		
c. Annual Review #3		eview:
Quality of the Research:		_ Quality of Presentation: Ability to Field Technical Questions: _ Level of Scholarship of Research:
0		
		eview:
d. Annual Review #4 Quality of Written Resea Quality of the Research:	Date of Annual Re	
d. Annual Review #4 Quality of Written Resea Quality of the Research: Ability to Perform <i>Indep</i>	Date of Annual Re rch Summary: 	eview: _ Quality of Presentation: Ability to Field Technical Questions:
d. Annual Review #4 Quality of Written Resea Quality of the Research: Ability to Perform <i>Indep</i> Committee Comments: _	Date of Annual Re rch Summary: endent Research:	eview: _ Quality of Presentation: Ability to Field Technical Questions: _ Level of Scholarship of Research:
d. Annual Review #4 Quality of Written Resea Quality of the Research: Ability to Perform <i>Indep</i> Committee Comments: e. Annual Review #5 Quality of Written Resea Quality of the Research:	Date of Annual Re rch Summary: endent Research: Date of Annual Re rch Summary:	eview: _ Quality of Presentation: Ability to Field Technical Questions: _ Level of Scholarship of Research:

(List publi	ions and Patents cations in the format: AuthorLastName, first, "Title of Publication," <i>Journal Name</i> , vol Month, Year.)
	Journal Articles:
[5]	
[6]	
Poforood (Conference Publications:
[2]	
-	
[4]	
[၁]	
[6]	
Other Pub	lications (including Patents):
[2]	
[3]	
[4]	
[5]	
[6]	

VIII. Awards

Date:	
Award:	
Awarding Institution:	
Date:	
Award:	
Awarding Institution:	
Date:	
Award:	
Awarding Institution:	
Date:	
(See Rubrics for quantitative scoring)	
Defense Date:	
	Quality of Presentation:
Quality of Written Research Summary: Quality of the Research:	Ability to Field Technical Questions: _
Quality of Written Research Summary: Quality of the Research:	
Quality of Written Research Summary: Quality of the Research: Ability to Perform <i>Independent</i> Research:	Ability to Field Technical Questions: _ Level of Scholarship of Research:
Quality of Written Research Summary: Quality of the Research: Ability to Perform <i>Independent</i> Research: Overall Quality of Dissertation:	Ability to Field Technical Questions: _ Level of Scholarship of Research:
Quality of Written Research Summary: Quality of the Research: Ability to Perform <i>Independent</i> Research: Overall Quality of Dissertation:	Ability to Field Technical Questions: _ Level of Scholarship of Research:
Quality of Written Research Summary: Quality of the Research: Ability to Perform <i>Independent</i> Research: Overall Quality of Dissertation:	Ability to Field Technical Questions: _ Level of Scholarship of Research: